

Nonlocal form of the rapid pressure-strain correlation in turbulent flows

Peter E. Hamlington* and Werner J. A. Dahm

Laboratory for Turbulence and Combustion (LTC), Department of Aerospace Engineering,
The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-2140, USA

(Received 11 May 2009; published 15 October 2009)

A new fundamentally based formulation of nonlocal effects in the rapid pressure-strain correlation in turbulent flows has been obtained. The resulting explicit form for the rapid pressure-strain correlation accounts for nonlocal effects produced by spatial variations in the mean-flow velocity gradients and is derived through Taylor expansion of the mean velocity gradients appearing in the exact integral relation for the rapid pressure-strain correlation. The integrals in the resulting series expansion are solved for high- and low-Reynolds number forms of the longitudinal correlation function $f(r)$, and the resulting nonlocal rapid pressure-strain correlation is expressed as an infinite series in terms of Laplacians of the mean strain rate tensor. This formulation is used to obtain a nonlocal transport equation for the turbulence anisotropy that is expected to provide improved predictions of the anisotropy in strongly inhomogeneous flows.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.80.046311](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.046311)

PACS number(s): 47.27.-i

I. INTRODUCTION

By far the most practical approaches for simulating turbulent flows are based on the ensemble-averaged Navier-Stokes equations. However, such approaches require a suitably accurate closure model for the Reynolds stress anisotropy tensor a_{ij} , defined as

$$a_{ij} \equiv \frac{\overline{u'_i u'_j}}{k} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

where $\overline{u'_i u'_j}$ are the Reynolds stresses and $k \equiv \frac{1}{2} \overline{u'_i u'_i}$ is the turbulence kinetic energy. Over the past half century, a wide range of closures for a_{ij} have been proposed. Of these, the so-called Reynolds stress transport models that solve the full set of coupled partial differential equations for a_{ij} are currently regarded as having the highest fidelity among practical closures. Such closures start from the exact transport equation for a_{ij} , namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Da_{ij}}{Dt} = & - \left[\frac{P}{\epsilon} - 1 \right] \frac{\epsilon}{k} a_{ij} + \frac{1}{k} \left[P_{ij} - \frac{2}{3} P \delta_{ij} \right] + \frac{1}{k} \Pi_{ij} \\ & - \frac{1}{k} \left[\epsilon_{ij} - \frac{2}{3} \epsilon \delta_{ij} \right] + \frac{1}{k} \left[D_{ij} - \left(a_{ij} + \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \right) D \right], \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

where for clarity we are restricting the presentation to incompressible flows. In Eq. (2), D/Dt is the mean-flow material derivative, $P_{ij} \equiv -(\overline{u'_i u'_j} \partial \overline{u}_j / \partial x_i + \overline{u'_j u'_i} \partial \overline{u}_i / \partial x_j)$ is the production tensor, ϵ_{ij} is the dissipation tensor, and all remaining viscous, turbulent, and pressure transport terms are contained in D_{ij} , with $P \equiv P_{nn}/2$, $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon_{nn}/2$, and $D \equiv D_{nn}/2$. In such Reynolds stress transport closures, the production tensor needs no modeling since $\overline{u'_i u'_j}$ is obtained from a_{ij} , and standard models for ϵ_{ij} and D_{ij} are discussed in Refs. [1,2]. The principal remaining difficulty is in accurately representing Π_{ij} in Eq. (2), namely, the pressure-strain correlation tensor

$$\Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \frac{2}{\rho} \overline{p'(\mathbf{x}) S'_{ij}(\mathbf{x})}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$S'_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u'_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \quad (4)$$

are the strain rate fluctuations. The pressure-strain correlation has received considerable attention, however developing a fundamentally based yet practically implementable form for Π_{ij} remains one of the primary challenges in turbulence research.

The difficulty in representing Π_{ij} stems in large part from the inherently nonlocal nature of the pressure-strain correlation, since the local pressure p' in Eq. (3) is given by an integral over the entire spatial domain of the flow. Some progress has been made by splitting Π_{ij} into the sum of “slow” and “rapid” parts [3], where the rapid part $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ is so named due to its direct dependence on the mean-flow velocity gradients $\partial \overline{u}_i / \partial x_j$, variations in which have an immediate effect on Da_{ij}/Dt . Typically, the slow part $\Pi_{ij}^{(s)}$ is represented in terms of the local values of a_{ij} and ϵ [4]. For the rapid part, it has been common (e.g., [3–5]) to take the mean velocity gradients as being sufficiently homogeneous that they can be brought outside the integral. Under certain conditions [5] the remaining integral can then be solved for the local part of $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$. This is then typically combined with additional *ad hoc* terms involving a_{ij} to model the rapid part solely in terms of local flow variables. Together with the assumed local representation for the slow part, this yields a local formulation for Π_{ij} that allows Eq. (2) to be solved but that neglects nonlocal effects in the evolution of the anisotropy.

Such purely local models for Π_{ij} have allowed relatively accurate simulations of homogeneous turbulent flows, where by construction there are no spatial variations in $\partial \overline{u}_i / \partial x_j$ and thereby all nonlocal effects vanish. However most practical situations involve strongly inhomogeneous flows, where large-scale structure and other manifestations of spatial variations in the mean-flow velocity gradients can produce

*Corresponding author; peterha@umich.edu

significant nonlocal effects in the turbulence, the neglect of which in Π_{ij} can lead to substantial inaccuracies in the resulting predictions of the anisotropy. Such nonlocal effects are significant even in free shear flows such as jets, wakes, and mixing layers and can become especially important in near-wall flows, where flow properties vary rapidly in the wall-normal direction. Improving the fidelity of turbulent flow simulations requires a fundamentally based formulation for nonlocal effects in $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ to account for spatial variations of velocity gradients in the ensemble-averaged flow.

Various methods for addressing such spatial variations have been proposed, however nearly all suffer from a lack of systematic physical and mathematical justification. For near-wall flows, by far the most common yet also least satisfying approach is the use of empirical “wall damping functions” (see Ref. [2]). Although such functions are relatively straightforward to implement, they are also distinctly *ad hoc* and as a consequence do not perform well across a wide range of flows. Moreover, wall functions typically conflate the treatment of a number of near-wall effects that in fact originate from distinctly different physical mechanisms, including low-Reynolds number effects, large strain effects, and wall-induced kinematic effects, and are not formulated to specifically account for nonlocality due to spatial variations in the mean-flow gradients.

In the following we depart from these prior approaches by systematically deriving a nonlocal formulation for the rapid pressure-strain correlation from the exact integral relation for the rapid part of Π_{ij} . Specifically, nonlocal effects due to mean-flow velocity gradients are accounted for through Taylor expansion of $\partial \bar{u}_i / \partial x_j$ in the rapid pressure-strain integral. The resulting nonlocal form of the rapid pressure-strain correlation $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ appears as a series of Laplacians of the mean strain rate tensor. The only approximation involved—beyond the central hypothesis on which the present formulation is based—is an explicit form for the longitudinal correlation function $f(r)$, although the effect of this is only to determine specific values of the coefficients in an otherwise fundamental result for the nonlocal effects in $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$. The coefficients are obtained here for the exponential form of $f(r)$ appropriate for high-Reynolds numbers and for the exact Gaussian $f(r)$ that applies at low-Reynolds numbers. The resulting formulation for the rapid part of Π_{ij} then provides a nonlocal anisotropy transport equation that can be used with any number of closure approaches for representing a_{ij} , including Reynolds stress transport models as well as explicit stress models suitable for two-equation closures.

II. NONLOCAL FORMULATION FOR THE PRESSURE-STRAIN CORRELATION

The starting point for developing a fundamentally based representation for Π_{ij} is the exact Poisson equation for the pressure fluctuations p' appearing in Eq. (3), namely,

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla^2 p' = -2 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_k}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial u'_l}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} (u'_k u'_l - \overline{u'_k u'_l}) \quad (5)$$

(e.g., [6]). Beginning with Chou [3], it has been common to write p' in terms of rapid, slow, and wall parts as

$$p' \equiv p'^{(r)} + p'^{(s)} + p'^{(w)}, \quad (6)$$

defined by their respective Poisson equations from Eq. (5) as

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla^2 p'^{(r)} = -2 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_k}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial u'_l}{\partial x_k}, \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla^2 p'^{(s)} = - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} (u'_k u'_l - \overline{u'_k u'_l}), \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla^2 p'^{(w)} = 0. \quad (9)$$

The effect of $p'^{(w)}$ is significant in Eq. (2) only in the extreme near-wall region of wall-bounded flows [6,7]. The remaining rapid and slow parts produce corresponding rapid and slow contributions to the pressure-strain correlation Π_{ij} in Eq. (3), with Green’s function solutions of Eqs. (7) and (8) giving these as [3]

$$\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_k(\hat{\mathbf{x}})}{\partial \hat{x}_l} \frac{\partial u'_l(\hat{\mathbf{x}})}{\partial \hat{x}_k} S'_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{d^3 \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}|}, \quad (10)$$

$$\Pi_{ij}^{(s)}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\partial^2 (u'_k u'_l)_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}}{\partial \hat{x}_k \partial \hat{x}_l} S'_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{d^3 \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}|}, \quad (11)$$

where the integration spans the entire flow domain \mathbf{R} .

The slow part $\Pi_{ij}^{(s)}$ is typically not treated in a systematic fashion via integration of Eq. (11). Instead, nearly all existing representations for $\Pi_{ij}^{(s)}$ are based on insights obtained from the return to isotropy of various forms of initially strained grid turbulence. The most common representation for $\Pi_{ij}^{(s)}$ is Rotta’s [4] linear form

$$\Pi_{ij}^{(s)} = -C_1 \epsilon a_{ij}, \quad (12)$$

where all variables are local and C_1 is typically in the range 1.5–1.8 (e.g., [2,6]). Sarkar and Speziale [8,9] argued that additional quadratic terms should be included in Eq. (12), but it has been noted [2] that these are typically small. As a result, representations for $\Pi_{ij}^{(s)}$ remain relatively simple, and the form in Eq. (12) continues to be widely used.

By contrast, $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ has received substantially greater attention. The direct effect of the mean velocity gradients $\partial \bar{u}_k / \partial x_l$ on this rapid part of the pressure-strain correlation is apparent in Eq. (10). In the following sections, we use the integral in Eq. (10) to develop a fundamentally based representation for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ that accounts for nonlocal effects resulting from spatial nonuniformities in the mean velocity gradients.

A. Prior local formulation for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ (x)

Chou [3] first suggested the notion of using the integral form in Eq. (10) to obtain a representation for the rapid pressure-strain correlation. Subsequently, Crow [5] used that approach to rigorously derive the purely local part of $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ by assuming the mean velocity gradients in Eq. (10) to vary slowly enough that they could be taken as constant over the length scale on which the two-point correlation

$[\partial u'_l(\hat{\mathbf{x}})/\partial \hat{x}_k] S'_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$ in Eq. (10) is nonzero. Under such conditions, the mean velocity gradient in Eq. (10) can be brought outside the integral, and $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ then becomes

$$\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{\partial \bar{u}_k(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_l} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\partial u'_l(\hat{\mathbf{x}})}{\partial \hat{x}_k} S'_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{d^3 \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}|}. \quad (13)$$

With $S'_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$ in Eq. (3), the integrand in Eq. (13) involves two-point correlations among velocity gradients of the form

$$\frac{\partial u'_l(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u'_l(\hat{\mathbf{x}})}{\partial \hat{x}_k} = - \frac{\partial^2 R_{il}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial r_j \partial r_k}, \quad (14)$$

where $R_{il}(\mathbf{r})$ denotes the velocity fluctuation correlation

$$R_{il}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \overline{u'_l(\mathbf{x}) u'_l(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{x})} \quad (15)$$

with $\mathbf{r} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}$. Defining [3–5]

$$M_{iljk} \equiv - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\partial^2 R_{il}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial r_j \partial r_k} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{r}}{r}, \quad (16)$$

the rapid pressure-strain correlation in Eq. (13) can then be expressed as

$$\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{\partial \bar{u}_k(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_l} [M_{iljk} + M_{jlik}]. \quad (17)$$

Using the homogeneous isotropic form of $R_{il}(\mathbf{r})$, namely,

$$R_{il}(r) = \frac{2}{3} k \left[f(r) \delta_{il} + \frac{r}{2} \frac{df}{dr} \left(\delta_{il} - \frac{r_i r_l}{r^2} \right) \right] \quad (18)$$

with

$$f(r) \equiv \frac{3}{2} \frac{\overline{u'(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) u'(\mathbf{x})}}{k}, \quad (19)$$

where k is the turbulence kinetic energy, it can be shown [5] that M_{iljk} in Eq. (16) becomes

$$M_{iljk} = \frac{2}{15} k (4 \delta_{jk} \delta_{il} - \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} - \delta_{jl} \delta_{ki}), \quad (20)$$

where the leading k again denotes the turbulence kinetic energy. Using Eq. (20) in Eq. (17) with $\partial \bar{u}_k / \partial x_k \equiv 0$ then gives the rapid pressure-strain correlation as

$$\frac{1}{k} \Pi_{ij}^{(r)} \approx \frac{4}{5} \bar{S}_{ij}, \quad (21)$$

where \bar{S}_{ij} is the local mean-flow strain rate tensor

$$\bar{S}_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_i} \right). \quad (22)$$

Typically, Eq. (21) is used as the leading-order isotropic term in tensorial expansions for the rapid pressure-strain correlation $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}(\mathbf{x})$, where the remaining terms are expressed in terms of the local anisotropy a_{ij} and the local mean velocity gradient tensor. Note however that such representations are still purely local since in going from Eq. (10) to Eq. (13) all spatial variations in the mean velocity gradients $\partial \bar{u}_k / \partial x_l$ over the length scale on which the two-point correlations $[\partial u'_l(\hat{\mathbf{x}})/\partial \hat{x}_k] S'_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$ are nonzero were ignored. The resulting

neglect of nonlocal contributions to $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ from that approximation can lead to substantial inaccuracies in many turbulent flows, including wall-bounded and free shear flows. For example, Bradshaw *et al.* [10] showed that in fully developed turbulent channel flow the homogeneity approximation used to obtain Eq. (13) is inaccurate for $y^+ \leq 30$. It can be further shown (e.g., [11,12]) that the dominant component \bar{S}_{12} of the mean strain begins to vary dramatically at locations as far from the wall as $y^+ \approx 60$. Comparable variations in mean velocity gradients are also found in turbulent jets, wakes, and mixing layers, where there are substantial spatial variations in \bar{S}_{12} across the flow. Indeed in most turbulent flows of practical interest, there are significant variations in the mean-flow velocity gradients that will produce nonlocal contributions to the rapid pressure-strain correlation via Eq. (10). In such situations, it may be essential to account for these nonlocal effects in $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ to obtain accurate results from any closures based on Eq. (2).

B. Present nonlocal formulation for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}(\mathbf{x})$

In the following, nonlocal effects due to spatial variations in the mean flow are accounted for in $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ through Taylor expansion of the mean velocity gradients appearing in Eq. (10). The central hypothesis in the approach developed here is that the nonlocality in $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ is substantially due to spatial variations in $\partial \bar{u}_k / \partial x_l$ in Eq. (10) and that in order to address this effect all other factors in Eq. (10) can be adequately represented by their homogeneous isotropic forms. This allows a formulation of the rapid pressure-strain correlation analogous to that in Eq. (21) but goes beyond a purely local formulation to take into account the effects of spatial variations in the mean-flow gradients.

We begin by defining the ensemble-averaged velocity gradients

$$A_{kl} \equiv \partial \bar{u}_k / \partial x_l \quad (23)$$

and account for spatial variations in $A_{kl}(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ in Eq. (10) via its local Taylor expansion about the point \mathbf{x} as

$$A_{kl}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = A_{kl}(\mathbf{x}) + r_m \frac{\partial A_{kl}}{\partial x_m} + \frac{r_m r_p}{2} \frac{\partial^2 A_{kl}}{\partial x_m \partial x_p} + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} (r_m r_p \dots) \frac{\partial^n A_{kl}}{\partial x_m \partial x_p \dots}, \quad (24)$$

where $\mathbf{r} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}$ and all derivatives of A_{kl} are evaluated at \mathbf{x} , and where n is the order of the expansion. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the expansion provides an exact representation of all spatial variations in $A_{kl}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{x})$ from purely local information at \mathbf{x} . Substituting Eq. (24) into Eq. (10) then gives

$$\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^n A_{kl}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_m \partial x_p \dots} [{}_{(mp\dots)} M_{iljk}^{(n)} + {}_{(mp\dots)} M_{jlik}^{(n)}], \quad (25)$$

where

$${}_{(mp\dots)}M_{iljk}^{(n)} \equiv -\frac{1}{2\pi n!} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left[\frac{r_m r_p \dots}{r^n} \right] r^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2 R_{il}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial r_j \partial r_k} d^3 \mathbf{r}. \quad (26)$$

The n th-order term in Eq. (25) involves n derivatives of A_{kl} as well as n total indices $(mp\dots)$ in ${}_{(mp\dots)}M_{iljk}^{(n)}$.

From the central hypothesis on which the present treatment of nonlocal effects in $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ is based, we represent $R_{il}(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq. (26) by the form in Eq. (18). With the relations

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial r_j} = \frac{r_j}{r}, \quad \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial r_j} = \delta_{ij}, \quad (27)$$

the double derivative of $R_{il}(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq. (26) is then given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 R_{il}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial r_j \partial r_k} = \frac{k}{3} \left[a_{ijkl} \frac{1}{r} \frac{df}{dr} + b_{ijkl} \frac{d^2 f}{dr^2} + c_{ijkl} r \frac{d^3 f}{dr^3} \right], \quad (28)$$

where we have introduced the compact notation

$$a_{ijkl} \equiv 3\delta_{jk}\delta_{il} - \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} - \delta_{jl}\delta_{ki} - 3\alpha_{jk}\delta_{il} + \delta_{ij}\alpha_{lk} + \delta_{jl}\alpha_{ik} + \delta_{ik}\alpha_{lj} + \delta_{kl}\alpha_{ij} + \delta_{kj}\alpha_{il} - 3\beta_{ijkl}, \quad (29a)$$

$$b_{ijkl} \equiv \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} + 3\alpha_{jk}\delta_{il} - \delta_{ij}\alpha_{lk} - \delta_{ij}\alpha_{ik} - \delta_{ik}\alpha_{lj} - \delta_{kl}\alpha_{ij} - \delta_{kj}\alpha_{il} + 3\beta_{ijkl}, \quad (29b)$$

$$c_{ijkl} \equiv \delta_{il}\alpha_{jk} - \beta_{ijkl}, \quad (29c)$$

with

$$\alpha_{ij} \equiv \frac{r_i r_j}{r^2}, \quad \beta_{ijkl} \equiv \frac{r_i r_j r_k r_l}{r^4}. \quad (30)$$

Writing the differential in Eq. (26) in spherical coordinates as $d^3 \mathbf{r} = r^2 dr d\Omega$, where $d\Omega = \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$ and $r = [0, \infty)$, $\theta = [0, \pi]$, and $\phi = [0, 2\pi]$, since $f(r)$ has no dependence on θ or ϕ and since a_{ijkl} , b_{ijkl} , and c_{ijkl} in Eq. (29) have no dependence on r , the integrals over these terms in Eq. (26) can be considered separately. Using Eq. (28), the integral in Eq. (26) can then be written as

$${}_{(mp\dots)}M_{iljk}^{(n)} = -\frac{k}{6\pi n!} \left[\int_0^\infty r^n \frac{df}{dr} dr \int_{\Omega} a_{ijkl} \frac{r_m r_p \dots}{r^n} d\Omega + \int_0^\infty r^{n+1} \frac{d^2 f}{dr^2} dr \int_{\Omega} b_{ijkl} \frac{r_m r_p \dots}{r^n} d\Omega + \int_0^\infty r^{n+2} \frac{d^3 f}{dr^3} dr \int_{\Omega} c_{ijkl} \frac{r_m r_p \dots}{r^n} d\Omega \right], \quad (31)$$

where k in the leading factor is the turbulence kinetic energy. With the corresponding expression for ${}_{(mp\dots)}M_{iljk}^{(n)}$, Eqs. (25) and (31) provide a nonlocal form for the rapid pressure-strain rate correlation $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ in terms of the longitudinal correlation $f(r)$.

C. Representing the longitudinal correlation $f(r)$

As will be seen later, in Eq. (31) the integrals over $d\Omega$ can be readily evaluated. Moreover, for $n=0$ the integrals over dr

are independent of the specific form for $f(r)$, and thus $M_{iljk}^{(0)}$ can be obtained from the general properties

$$\Lambda = \int_0^\infty f(r) dr, \quad f(0) = 1, \quad f(\infty) = 0, \quad (32)$$

where Λ is the integral length scale of the turbulence. However for $n > 0$, evaluating the integrals over dr to obtain ${}_{(mp\dots)}M_{iljk}^{(n)}$ requires an explicit form for the longitudinal correlation function $f(r)$. We can anticipate, however, that the precise form may not be of central importance to our eventual result for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ since the only role of $f(r)$ is to weight the contributions from velocity gradients $A_{kl}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r})$ around the local point \mathbf{x} . It is thus likely that the integral scale Λ in Eq. (32) plays the most essential role since it determines the size of the region around \mathbf{x} from which nonlocal contributions to the integral for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ will be significant. When r is scaled by Λ , the precise form of $f(r/\Lambda)$ is likely to be far less important for most reasonable forms that satisfy the constraints in Eq. (32).

Despite its fundamental significance in turbulence theory, the form of $f(r)$ for any r and all Reynolds numbers $\text{Re}_\Lambda \equiv k^{1/2} \Lambda / \nu$, where k is the turbulence kinetic energy and Λ is the integral length scale in Eq. (32), has yet to be determined even for homogeneous isotropic turbulence. Perhaps the most widely accepted representation for $f(r)$ comes from Kolmogorov's 1941 universal equilibrium hypotheses. For large values of Re_Λ and inertial-range separations $\lambda_\nu \ll r \ll \Lambda$, where $\lambda_\nu \sim (\nu^3/\epsilon)^{1/4}$ is the viscous diffusion scale, the mean-square velocity difference is taken to depend solely on r and the turbulent dissipation rate ϵ , and thus on dimensional grounds must scale as

$$\overline{[u'(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - u'(\mathbf{x})]^2} \sim \epsilon^{2/3} r^{2/3}. \quad (33)$$

Expanding the left-hand side of Eq. (33) and using Eq. (19) gives

$$\frac{4}{3} k [1 - f(r)] \sim \epsilon^{2/3} r^{2/3}. \quad (34)$$

Denoting the proportionality constant in Eq. (34) as C_f and rearranging gives the inertial-range form of $f(r)$ as

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{3}{4} C_f \left[\frac{r}{k^{3/2}/\epsilon} \right]^{2/3}. \quad (35)$$

From Hinze [13], a value for C_f can be obtained in terms of the Kolmogorov constant $K \equiv (8/9\alpha)^{2/3} \approx 1.7$, where $\alpha \approx 0.405$, as

$$C_f = \frac{81}{55} \Gamma(4/3) K \approx 2.24, \quad (36)$$

where we have used $\Gamma(4/3) \approx 0.893$. Expressing Λ in terms of k and ϵ on dimensional grounds as

$$\Lambda = C_\lambda \frac{k^{3/2}}{\epsilon}, \quad (37)$$

where C_λ is a presumably universal constant, then allows the inertial-range form of $f(r)$ in Eq. (35) to be given as




FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Comparison of exponential $f(r)$ in Eq. (39) with experimental data from axisymmetric turbulent jet [14] and (b) planar turbulent mixing layer [15] and with (c) direct numerical simulation (DNS) data from turbulent channel flow at $Re_\tau = 650$ [12].

$$f(r/\Lambda) = 1 - \frac{3}{4} C_f C_\lambda^{2/3} \left(\frac{r}{\Lambda} \right)^{2/3}. \quad (38)$$

Note that the form for $f(r)$ in Eq. (38) is valid only for inertial-range r values, namely, $\lambda_\nu \ll r \ll \Lambda$, and thus for $Re_\Lambda^{-3/4} \ll (r/\Lambda) \ll 1$. As a consequence, this form cannot be used directly to evaluate the r integrals in Eq. (31). However, experimental data from a wide range of turbulent free shear flows (e.g., [14,15]) and direct numerical simulation results for wall-bounded turbulent flows (e.g., [11,12]) show that $f(r)$ can be reasonably represented by the exponential form

$$f(r/\Lambda) = e^{-r/\Lambda}, \quad (39)$$

as can be seen in Figs. 1(a)–1(c). Moreover, C_λ in Eq. (37) can be chosen to closely match $f(r)$ in Eq. (39) with the fundamentally based inertial-range form in Eq. (38). Indeed, Fig. 2 shows that with




FIG. 2. (Color online) Comparison of inertial-range and exponential forms for $f(r/\Lambda)$ in Eqs. (38) and (39), respectively. Note that $C_\lambda = 0.23$ in Eq. (40) gives reasonable agreement between the two forms in the inertial-range $Re_\Lambda^{-3/4} \ll (r/\Lambda) \ll 1$.

$$C_\lambda \approx 0.23 \quad (40)$$

the exponential form in Eq. (39) gives reasonable agreement with the inertial-range form in Eq. (38) up to $r/\Lambda \approx 1$. This exponential form is thus here taken to represent $f(r)$ in high- Re_Λ turbulent flows and will be used in Eq. (31) to obtain an explicit form for the nonlocal rapid pressure-strain correlation. Since Eq. (25) with Eq. (31) is a rigorous formulation for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ within the central hypothesis on which the present approach is based, the exponential representation for $f(r)$ is the principal additional approximation that will be used below in deriving the present result for the rapid pressure-strain correlation.

While the exponential $f(r)$ appears appropriate for high Re_Λ , in the $Re_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit the Kármán-Haworth equation [16] allows a solution for $f(r)$. Batchelor and Townsend [17] showed that when inertial effects can be neglected, this equation can be solved exactly, giving a Gaussian form for $f(r)$ as

$$f(r/\Lambda) = \exp \left[-\frac{\pi}{4} \left(\frac{r}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (41)$$

Ristorcelli [18] proposed a blended form for $f(r)$ that satisfies various conditions placed on $f(r)$, including those in Eq. (32), while recovering the Gaussian $f(r)$ in Eq. (41) as $Re_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ and the exponential $f(r)$ in Eq. (39) as $Re_\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$. It should be possible to use such blended forms for $f(r)$ to obtain a nonlocal pressure-strain correlation valid for all Reynolds numbers, following the procedure developed herein. In the following we obtain the nonlocal rapid pressure-strain correlation using the high-Reynolds number exponential form in Eq. (39), which should be accurate for the vast majority of turbulent flow problems, and then show how this result can be extended to the low-Reynolds number limit using Eq. (41).

D. Resulting nonlocal pressure-strain correlation

Using Eq. (39), it can be shown that the integrals over dr in Eq. (31) give

$$\int_0^\infty r^n \frac{df}{dr} dr = -n! \Lambda^n, \quad (42a)$$

$$\int_0^\infty r^{n+1} \frac{d^2f}{dr^2} dr = (n+1)! \Lambda^n, \quad (42b)$$

$$\int_0^\infty r^{n+2} \frac{d^3f}{dr^3} dr = -(n+2)! \Lambda^n. \quad (42c)$$

With these results, Eq. (31) is then written as

$$\begin{aligned} (mp\dots)M_{ijkl}^{(n)} &= k \frac{\Lambda^n}{6\pi} \int_\Omega \left[\frac{r_m r_p \dots}{r^n} \right] \\ &\times [a_{ijkl} - (n+1)b_{ijkl} + (n+2)(n+1)c_{ijkl}] d\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

The remaining integrals over $d\Omega$ are all of the form $(r_m r_p \dots)/r^n$ and can be solved using the general integral relations

$$\int_\Omega \frac{r_m r_p r_q r_s \dots}{r^n} d\Omega = 0, \quad n = \text{odd}, \quad (44a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \frac{r_m r_p r_q r_s \dots}{r^n} d\Omega \\ = \frac{4\pi}{(n+1)!!} [\delta_{mp} \delta_{qs} \dots + \delta_{mq} \delta_{ps} \dots + \dots], \quad n = \text{even}, \end{aligned} \quad (44b)$$

where the double factorial is defined as

$$n!! \equiv n(n-2)(n-4)\dots, \quad (45)$$

with $0!! \equiv 1$ and $(-1)!! \equiv 1$, and the terms in brackets on the right-hand side of Eq. (44b) represent all possible combinations of delta functions for the indices (m, p, q, s, \dots) . For any n , there are $(n-1)!!$ such delta function terms, and each term consists of $(n/2)$ delta functions.

In Eq. (43), for $n=0$ it can be shown using Eq. (44b) that

$$M_{ijkl}^{(0)} = k \frac{2}{15} (4\delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} - \delta_{jl}\delta_{ki}). \quad (46)$$

For $n=1$, from Eq. (44a) ${}_m M_{ijkl}^{(1)} = 0$, as applies to all odd- n cases. For $n=2$, from Eq. (44b)

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{mp} M_{ijkl}^{(2)} &= k \frac{2\Lambda^2}{315} [4\delta_{jk}\delta_{il}\delta_{mp} - 3(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\delta_{mp} + \delta_{jl}\delta_{ik}\delta_{mp}) \\ &- 24(\delta_{ij}\delta_{jm}\delta_{kp} + \delta_{il}\delta_{km}\delta_{jp}) + 4(\delta_{ij}\delta_{lm}\delta_{kp} + \delta_{ij}\delta_{km}\delta_{lp} \\ &+ \delta_{jl}\delta_{im}\delta_{kp} + \delta_{jl}\delta_{km}\delta_{ip} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{lm}\delta_{jp} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jm}\delta_{lp} \\ &+ \delta_{kl}\delta_{im}\delta_{jp} + \delta_{kl}\delta_{jm}\delta_{ip} + \delta_{jk}\delta_{im}\delta_{pl} + \delta_{jk}\delta_{lm}\delta_{ip})]. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Contracting Eqs. (46) and (47) with A_{kl} and its derivatives as in Eq. (25) then gives

$$A_{kl}[M_{iljk}^{(0)} + M_{jlik}^{(0)}] = \frac{4}{5} k \bar{S}_{ij} \quad (48)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 A_{kl}}{\partial x_m \partial x_p} [{}_{mp} M_{iljk}^{(2)} + {}_{mp} M_{jlik}^{(2)}] = \frac{68}{315} k \Lambda^2 \nabla^2 \bar{S}_{ij}, \quad (49)$$

where we have used $A_{kk} \equiv 0$. From Eqs. (48) and (49), the first two terms in the present formulation for the pressure-strain correlation in Eq. (25) are thus given by

$$\frac{1}{k} \Pi_{ij}^{(r)} = \frac{4}{5} \bar{S}_{ij} + \frac{68}{315} \Lambda^2 \nabla^2 \bar{S}_{ij} + \dots \quad (50)$$

The first term on the right in Eq. (50) is the same as that in Eq. (21) obtained by Crow [5] assuming spatially uniform mean velocity gradients. Thus the second term in Eq. (50) is the first-order nonlocal correction accounting for spatial variations in the mean velocity gradient field.

To obtain the remaining higher-order nonlocal corrections in Eq. (50), it is helpful to contract Eq. (43) with the derivatives of A_{kl} and again use $A_{kk} \equiv 0$. It is then readily shown that all terms involving δ_{kl} , δ_{km} , δ_{kp} , etc., from the integral over $d\Omega$ are zero when contracted with the derivatives of A_{kl} , and as a result the coefficients in Eq. (29) can be simplified as

$$a_{ijkl} = 4\delta_{jk}\delta_{il} - \delta_{jl}\delta_{ki} - b_{ijkl}, \quad (51a)$$

$$b_{ijkl} = \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} + b_{ijkl}^*, \quad (51b)$$

$$b_{ijkl}^* = 3\alpha_{jk}\delta_{il} - \delta_{lj}\alpha_{ik} - \delta_{ik}\alpha_{lj} - \delta_{kj}\alpha_{il} + 3\beta_{iljk}, \quad (51c)$$

$$c_{ijkl} = \delta_{il}\alpha_{jk} - \beta_{iljk}, \quad (51d)$$

where b_{ijkl}^* has been introduced to simplify the notation. Using Eq. (51) and contracting Eq. (43) with the derivatives of A_{kl} we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^n A_{kl}}{\partial x_m \partial x_p \dots} [{}_{(mp\dots)} M_{iljk}^{(n)}] \\ = k \Lambda^n \frac{1}{6\pi} \left[\frac{\partial^n A_{kl}}{\partial x_m \partial x_p \dots} \right] \int_\Omega \left[\frac{r_m r_p \dots}{r^n} \right] \\ \times [(2-n)\delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - (n+2)b_{ijkl}^* \\ + (n+2)(n+1)c_{ijkl}] d\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

From Eq. (44a) all odd- n terms in Eq. (52) are zero. For even n , the integrals over $d\Omega$ are readily evaluated using Eq. (44b), and it can then be shown that Eq. (52) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^n A_{kl}}{\partial x_m \partial x_p \dots} [{}_{(mp\dots)}M_{iljk}^{(n)}] \\
&= k\Lambda^n \frac{2}{3} \left\{ \frac{(n-1)!!}{(n+1)!!} (\nabla^2)^{n/2} [(2-n)A_{ji} - A_{ij}] \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{(n+2)(n-1)!!}{(n+3)!!} (\nabla^2)^{n/2} [(2-n)A_{ji} - A_{ij}] \\
&\quad + \frac{(n+2)(n+1)!!}{(n+3)!!} (\nabla^2)^{n/2} [A_{ji} + A_{ij}] \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{(n+2)(n+4)(n+1)!!}{(n+5)!!} (\nabla^2)^{n/2} [A_{ji} + A_{ij}] \right\}. \quad (53)
\end{aligned}$$

Adding the corresponding result for ${}_{(mp\dots)}M_{jik}^{(n)}$ to Eq. (53) then gives $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ from Eq. (25) as

$$\frac{1}{k} \Pi_{ij}^{(r)} = \sum_{n=0, \text{even}}^{\infty} [C_2^{(n)} \Lambda^n (\nabla^2)^{n/2} \bar{S}_{ij}], \quad (54)$$

where the coefficients are

$$C_2^{(n)} \equiv \frac{4(n^2 + 2n + 9)}{3(n+5)(n+3)(n+1)}. \quad (55)$$

Since the indices in Eqs. (54) and (55) are required to be even, we can change the index n to $(2n-2)$, where then $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$. This gives the final result for the nonlocal rapid pressure-strain correlation from the present approach as

$$\frac{1}{k} \Pi_{ij}^{(r)} = C_2^{(1)} \bar{S}_{ij} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [C_2^{(n)} \Lambda^{2n-2} (\nabla^2)^{n-1} \bar{S}_{ij}] \quad (56)$$

with

$$C_2^{(n)} \equiv \frac{16n^2 - 16n + 36}{3(2n+3)(4n^2-1)}, \quad (57)$$

where Λ in Eq. (56) is from Eqs. (37) and (40). In Eq. (57) it may be readily verified that $C_2^{(1)}=4/5$ and $C_2^{(2)}=68/315$, consistent with Eqs. (21) and (50). The first term on the right in Eq. (57) accounts for purely local effects on $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$, while the series term accounts for nonlocal effects.

The result in Eqs. (56) and (57) is a rigorous formulation for the rapid pressure-strain correlation $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ that accounts for nonlocal effects due to spatial variations in the mean velocity gradients. Within the central hypothesis on which the present approach is based, the principal approximation used in deriving Eqs. (56) and (57) is the exponential form of $f(r)$ in Eq. (39) for high- Re_Λ turbulent flows. However, the only effect of this choice of $f(r)$ is in the resulting coefficients $C_2^{(n)}$ in Eq. (57). All other aspects of Eq. (56) are unaffected by the particular form of $f(r)$ and instead result directly from the fundamental approach taken here in solving Eq. (10) via Taylor expansion of the mean velocity gradients $\partial \bar{u}_k / \partial x_l$ to account for nonlocal effects in $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$. Moreover, by accounting for nonlocal effects through the series of Laplacians in Eq. (56), which are all evaluated at the point \mathbf{x} , use of the inte-




FIG. 3. (Color online) Comparison of rapid pressure-strain coefficients $C_2^{(n)}$ from Eq. (57) for the $\text{Re}_\Lambda \gg 1$ exponential $f(r)$ in Eq. (39) and from Eq. (58) for the $\text{Re}_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ Gaussian $f(r)$ in Eq. (41).

gral formulation for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ in Eq. (10) is avoided. The series in Eq. (56) thus allows nonlocal effects to be included in a straightforward manner in Eq. (2).

The coefficients $C_2^{(n)}$ in Eq. (57) from the exponential representation of $f(r)$ are shown in Fig. 3. It is apparent that the $n=1$ term in Eq. (56), which accounts for the purely local contribution to $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ as verified in Eq. (50), is by far the dominant coefficient. The remaining coefficients for $n=2, 3, 4, \dots$ correspond to the nonlocal contributions to $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ and can be seen in Fig. 3 to decrease only slowly with increasing order n . However, while $C_2^{(1)}$ is clearly the dominant coefficient, in Eq. (56) the remaining coefficients are multiplied by successively higher-order Laplacians of the mean strain rate field and thus may produce net contributions to $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ that are comparable to, or possibly even larger than, the $n=1$ local term.

E. Corresponding coefficients for $\text{Re}_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$

While the coefficients in Eq. (57) are appropriate for $\text{Re}_\Lambda \gg 1$, in this section we use the exact Gaussian form for $f(r)$ in Eq. (41) that applies in the $\text{Re}_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit to obtain the result for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ applicable to low- Re_Λ flows, as may occur in the near-wall region of wall-bounded turbulent flows. Using this form for $f(r)$, it can be shown that for even n , which are the only nonzero terms from Eq. (43) due to Eq. (44a), the r integrals in Eq. (31) are modified only by multiplying the previous results in Eq. (42) by the factor $[(2/\sqrt{\pi})^n (n/2)! / n!]$. The result for $M_{ijk}^{(0)}$ in Eq. (46) is independent of the form of $f(r)$ and thus is unchanged in this limit, but now ${}_{mp}M_{iljk}^{(2)}$ in Eq. (47) is reduced by the factor $2/\pi$. With the remaining higher-order terms ${}_{(mp\dots)}M_{iljk}^{(n)}$, it may be readily verified that the result for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ in Eq. (56) is unchanged in this low- Re_Λ limit, but the coefficients $C_2^{(n)}$ are now given by

$$C_2^{(n)} = \frac{16n^2 - 16n + 36}{3(2n+3)(4n^2-1)} \left[\frac{(n-1)!}{(2n-2)!} \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \right)^{n-1} \right], \quad (58)$$

where again $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$. The effect of the additional factor in Eq. (58) relative to Eq. (57) is to damp the higher-order

terms in the $\text{Re}_\Lambda \gg 1$ coefficients, as shown in Fig. 3. It is apparent that in this $\text{Re}_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit, only the first nonlocal term ($n=2$) in Eq. (56) is significant, with all higher-order coefficients being negligible. This may introduce significant simplifications in near-wall modeling, where this limit applies as $y^+ \rightarrow 0$.

F. Relation to Rotta [4]

The present result in Eq. (56) with Eq. (57) for $\text{Re}_\Lambda \gg 1$ or Eq. (58) for $\text{Re}_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ is the nonlocal pressure-strain correlation that rigorously accounts for the effect of spatial variations in the mean velocity gradients on the turbulence anisotropy. Previously, Rotta [4] derived some of the components of $M_{ijk}^{(0)}$ and ${}_{mp}M_{ijk}^{(2)}$ but did not consider ${}_{(mp\dots)}M_{ijk}^{(n)}$ for $n > 2$ and thus did not obtain the full series formulation for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ in Eq. (56). In particular, Rotta used an inertial-range form for $f(r)$ similar to Eq. (35) and the Gaussian form in Eq. (41) to obtain certain components of ${}_{mp}M_{ijk}^{(2)}$ in the high- and low-Reynolds number limits, respectively. Note that Rotta expressed his results [4] in terms of the transverse integral scale L rather than the longitudinal integral scale Λ . The two length scales are related by $L=0.5\Lambda$ for incompressible flows, and this relation can be used to compare the present result for ${}_{mp}M_{ijk}^{(2)}$ with the limited components obtained by Rotta. In addition, for $\text{Re}_\Lambda \gg 1$ the inertial-range form of $f(r)$ used by Rotta increases the magnitude of each of the $n=2$ integral solutions in Eq. (42)—which are obtained herein using the exponential form for $f(r)$ in Eq. (39)—by a factor of 1.36. Using this correction and the standard relation between L and Λ , it may be verified that the components of ${}_{mp}M_{ijk}^{(2)}$ given by Rotta are in agreement with the complete result in Eq. (47) for $\text{Re}_\Lambda \gg 1$ and with the result for $\text{Re}_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ when the factor of $2/\pi$ is accounted for as noted in Sec. II E.

The agreement with those components of ${}_{mp}M_{ijk}^{(2)}$ reported by Rotta [4] provides partial validation of the present results. However, the present results go much further by addressing the complete components of ${}_{(mp\dots)}M_{ijk}^{(n)}$ for all n , thereby allowing a complete formulation of nonlocal effects in the rapid pressure-strain correlation $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ due to spatial variations in the mean-flow gradients $\partial \bar{u}_i / \partial x_j$.

III. NONLOCAL ANISOTROPY TRANSPORT EQUATION

The present result for nonlocal effects in the rapid part $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ of the pressure-strain correlation, given by Eq. (56) with the coefficients $C_2^{(n)}$ in Eq. (57) or (58) and with Λ in Eqs. (37) and (40), can be combined with Eq. (12) for the slow part $\Pi_{ij}^{(s)}$ to give Π_{ij} in Eq. (2) as

$$\frac{1}{k}\Pi_{ij} = -C_1 \frac{\epsilon}{k} a_{ij} + C_2^{(1)} \bar{S}_{ij} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[C_2^{(n)} \left(C_\lambda \frac{k^{3/2}}{\epsilon} \right)^{2n-2} (\nabla^2)^{n-1} \bar{S}_{ij} \right]. \quad (59)$$

In homogeneous flows, for which prior purely local models for Π_{ij} have been relatively successful, the Laplacians of \bar{S}_{ij} in Eq. (59) vanish, and thus the present nonlocal pressure-strain formulation recovers the local form in Eq. (21) since

$C_2^{(1)}=4/5$ in both Eqs. (57) and (58). For inhomogeneous flows, when Eq. (59) is introduced in Eq. (2) it gives an anisotropy transport equation that accounts for both local and nonlocal effects via the present fundamental treatment of spatial variations in the mean velocity gradients in Eq. (10). Note in Eq. (2) that the definition of P_{ij} with $P \equiv P_{nm}/2 \equiv -\bar{u}'_i \bar{u}'_j \bar{S}_{ij}$ gives

$$\frac{1}{k} \left[P_{ij} - \frac{2}{3} P \delta_{ij} \right] \equiv -\frac{4}{3} \bar{S}_{ij} + (a_{il} \bar{W}_{lj} - \bar{W}_{il} a_{lj}) - \left(a_{il} \bar{S}_{lj} + \bar{S}_{il} a_{lj} - \frac{2}{3} a_{nl} \bar{S}_{nl} \delta_{ij} \right), \quad (60)$$

where the mean-flow rotation rate tensor \bar{W}_{ij} is given by

$$\bar{W}_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_i} \right). \quad (61)$$

From Eq. (60), the production terms in Eq. (2) thus require no additional closure modeling, while current standard models summarized in Refs. [1,2] may be used for the remaining ϵ_{ij} and D_{ij} terms.

However, Eq. (59) does not account for possible additional anisotropic effects in $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ since the present nonlocal pressure-strain result in Eq. (56) is based on the central hypothesis that $R_{ij}(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq. (26) can be represented by its isotropic form in Eq. (18). Fundamentally based approaches for any such remaining anisotropic effects in Eq. (59) have yet to be rigorously formulated, however it has been argued (e.g., [9,19]) that such additional anisotropy effects may be represented by higher-order tensorial combinations of a_{ij} , \bar{S}_{ij} , and \bar{W}_{ij} . The most general of such combinations that remains linear in a_{ij} is

$$\frac{1}{k} \Pi_{ij}^{(aniso)} = C_3 \left(a_{il} \bar{S}_{lj} + \bar{S}_{il} a_{lj} - \frac{2}{3} a_{nl} \bar{S}_{nl} \delta_{ij} \right) - C_4 (a_{il} \bar{W}_{lj} - \bar{W}_{il} a_{lj}), \quad (62)$$

where the coefficients C_3 and C_4 can be chosen to presumably account for such additional anisotropy effects. In general, choices for these coefficients vary widely from one model to another; a summary of various such models is given in Ref. [2].

When Eq. (59) is combined with Eq. (62), it provides an anisotropy transport equation that accounts for both local and nonlocal effects, as well as possible additional anisotropy effects, in the pressure-strain correlation as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D a_{ij}}{D t} = & -\alpha_1 \frac{\epsilon}{k} a_{ij} + \alpha_2 \bar{S}_{ij} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[C_2^{(n)} \left(C_\lambda \frac{k^{3/2}}{\epsilon} \right)^{2n-2} (\nabla^2)^{n-1} \bar{S}_{ij} \right] \\ & - \frac{1}{k} \left[\epsilon_{ij} - \frac{2}{3} \epsilon \delta_{ij} \right] + \alpha_3 \left(a_{il} \bar{S}_{lj} + \bar{S}_{il} a_{lj} - \frac{2}{3} a_{nl} \bar{S}_{nl} \delta_{ij} \right) \\ & - \alpha_4 (a_{il} \bar{W}_{lj} - \bar{W}_{il} a_{lj}) + \frac{1}{k} \left[D_{ij} - \left(a_{ij} + \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \right) D \right], \quad (63) \end{aligned}$$

where the $C_2^{(n)}$ coefficients are given in Eq. (57) or (58), and the α_i are defined as

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{P}{\epsilon} - 1 + C_1, \quad \alpha_2 = C_2^{(1)} - \frac{4}{3},$$

$$\alpha_3 = C_3 - 1, \quad \alpha_4 = C_4 - 1. \quad (64)$$

By contrast to the integrodifferential equation for a_{ij} from Eq. (2), with Π_{ij} given in integral form by Eqs. (10) and (11), nonlocal effects in Eq. (63) are accounted for through the series of Laplacians of \bar{S}_{ij} evaluated at the location \mathbf{x} . This allows Eq. (63) to be readily implemented in existing computational frameworks for solving the ensemble-averaged Navier-Stokes equations. Values for the coefficients C_1 , C_3 , and C_4 in Eq. (64) may be inferred from prior purely local models, such as the Launder, Reece, and Rodi (LRR) [19] or Speziale, Sarkar, and Gatski (SSG) [9] models, which are based on forms of Eq. (63) without the nonlocal effects given by the series term. However, optimal values for these coefficients may change in the presence of the nonlocal pressure-strain term in Eq. (63).

With respect to the remaining terms in Eq. (63), for high-Reynolds numbers the dissipation tensor ϵ_{ij} is concentrated at the smallest scales of the flow, which are assumed to be isotropic. Thus, consistent with the central hypothesis on which the present result for the pressure-strain tensor is derived, the dissipation is commonly represented by its isotropic form $\epsilon_{ij} = \frac{2}{3}\epsilon\delta_{ij}$ (e.g., [2,6]), with the result that the dissipation term in Eq. (63) vanishes entirely. The only remaining unclosed terms when Eq. (63) is used with the ensemble-averaged Navier-Stokes equations are the transport terms D_{ij} and D , and these are typically represented using gradient-transport hypotheses, with several possible such formulations summarized in Ref. [2].

A number of different approaches can be taken for solving Eq. (63). First, this may be solved as a set of six coupled partial differential equations, together with the ensemble-averaged Navier-Stokes equations, to obtain a new nonlocal Reynolds stress transport closure that improves on existing approaches such as the LRR and SSG models in strongly inhomogeneous flows. Alternatively, equilibrium approximations may be used to neglect the Da_{ij}/Dt and D_{ij} terms in Eq. (63) to obtain a new explicit nonlocal equilibrium stress model for a_{ij} , analogous to the existing local models developed, for example, by Gatski and Speziale [20], Girimaji [21], and Wallin and Johansson [22]. Perhaps preferably, a new explicit nonlocal nonequilibrium stress model for a_{ij} can be obtained from Eq. (63) following the approach in Ref. [23] by explicitly solving the quasilinear form of Eq. (63), namely,

$$\frac{Da_{ij}}{Dt} = -\alpha_1 \frac{\epsilon}{k} a_{ij} + \alpha_2 \bar{S}_{ij} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[C_2^{(n)} \left(C_\lambda \frac{k^{3/2}}{\epsilon} \right)^{2n-2} (\nabla^2)^{n-1} \bar{S}_{ij} \right]. \quad (65)$$

In so doing it is possible to obtain a new explicit form for the anisotropy a_{ij} that accounts for both nonlocal and nonequilibrium effects in turbulent flows. In particular, prior purely local representations for a_{ij} incorrectly predict the anisotropy in the near-wall region of turbulent wall-bounded flows, and

the additional nonlocal effects accounted for in Eq. (65) are expected to address at least some of the shortcomings of these prior approaches.

Finally, it should be noted that practical use of the nonlocal transport equations in Eq. (63) or (65) will generally require truncations of the infinite series of Laplacians of \bar{S}_{ij} . However, retaining even the leading-order terms in the series addresses nonlocal effects that are neglected in prior purely local formulations for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ such as in Eq. (21). It is thus expected that truncations of the series in Eqs. (63) and (65) will still give improved predictions of the anisotropy due to spatial nonuniformities in the mean flow when compared to results from prior approaches based on the purely local representation for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ in Eq. (21).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A rigorous and complete formulation for the rapid pressure-strain correlation, including both local and nonlocal effects, has been obtained in Eq. (56) with Eq. (57) for $\text{Re}_\Lambda \gg 1$ or Eq. (58) for $\text{Re}_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ and with Λ in Eqs. (37) and (40). Nonlocal effects are rigorously accounted for through Taylor expansion of the mean velocity gradients appearing in the exact integral relation for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ in Eq. (10). The derivation is based on the central hypothesis that the nonlocality in $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ is substantially due to spatial variations in $\partial\bar{u}_k/\partial x_i$ in Eq. (10) and that in order to address this effect all other factors in Eq. (10) can be adequately represented by their homogeneous isotropic forms. The resulting rapid pressure-strain correlation in Eq. (56) takes the form of an infinite series of increasing-order Laplacians of the mean strain rate field $\bar{S}_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$, with the $n=1$ term recovering the classical purely local form in Eq. (21) and with the remaining $n \geq 2$ terms accounting for nonlocal effects due to spatial variations in the mean-flow velocity gradients $\partial\bar{u}_k/\partial x_i$.

Aside from the central hypothesis on which the present approach is based, the sole approximation lies in the need to specify a form for the longitudinal correlation function $f(r)$. The particular specification does not affect the fundamental result in Eq. (56) and serves only to determine the pressure-strain coefficients $C_2^{(n)}$. For the classical exponential form in Eq. (39) appropriate for $\text{Re}_\Lambda \gg 1$, the corresponding coefficients are given in Eq. (57), while for the exact Gaussian form in Eq. (41) appropriate for $\text{Re}_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ the coefficients are given in Eq. (58). The integral scale Λ in Eq. (56) determines the size of the region around any point over which nonlocal effects are significant in $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$. In general, Λ can be obtained via Eq. (37), with C_λ in Eq. (40) giving good agreement with the inertial-range form of $f(r)$ in Eqs. (36) and (38) for $\text{Re}_\Lambda \gg 1$.

The agreement of the present $n=1$ term with the purely local form in Eq. (21) obtained by Crow [5] and with the limited components obtained by Rotta [4] for the leading ($n=2$) nonlocal term supports the validity of the present derivation. The present results, however, go much further by accounting for all components $(mp\dots)M_{ijk}^{(n)}$ for all n , which together have allowed the complete form of both the local and nonlocal parts of the rapid pressure-strain correlation

$\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ to be obtained within the central hypothesis on which the present approach is based. The present result thus gives a rigorous nonlocal form of the rapid pressure-strain correlation $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ for spatially varying mean velocity gradients in turbulent flows. While it is possible that additional nonlocal effects could arise in some flows due to inhomogeneities in turbulence variables that are not addressed by the present formulation, it is expected that the series in Eq. (56) accounts for the dominant nonlocal effects in flows having strong spatial variations in the mean strain rate field.

Using the present result for $\Pi_{ij}^{(r)}$ in Eq. (56) with Eqs. (37) and (40) and with Eq. (57) or (58), a nonlocal transport equation for the turbulence anisotropy has been obtained in Eqs. (63) and (64). The resulting nonlocal anisotropy equation can be solved by any number of standard methods, including full Reynolds stress transport closure approaches, algebraic stress

approaches, or the nonequilibrium anisotropy approach outlined in Ref. [23] based on Eq. (65). This nonlocal anisotropy equation should give significantly greater accuracy in simulations of inhomogeneous turbulent flows, including free shear and wall-bounded flows, where strongly nonuniform mean-flow properties and significant large-scale structures will introduce substantial nonlocal effects in the turbulence anisotropy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported, in part, by the Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) through the Michigan-AFRL-Boeing Collaborative Center for Aeronautical Sciences (MAB-CCAS) under Award No. FA8650-06-2-3625.

-
- [1] C. G. Speziale, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **23**, 107 (1991).
 [2] C. G. Speziale and R. M. C. So, *The Handbook of Fluid Dynamics*, Turbulence Modeling and Simulation (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1998), Chap. 14, pp. 14.1–14.111.
 [3] P. Y. Chou, *Q. Appl. Math.* **3**, 38 (1945).
 [4] J. Rotta, *Z. Phys.* **129**, 547 (1951).
 [5] S. C. Crow, *J. Fluid Mech.* **33**, 1 (1968).
 [6] S. B. Pope, *Turbulent Flows* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
 [7] N. Mansour, J. Kim, and P. Moin, *J. Fluid Mech.* **194**, 15 (1988).
 [8] S. Sarkar and C. G. Speziale, *Phys. Fluids A* **2**, 84 (1990).
 [9] C. G. Speziale, S. Sarkar, and T. B. Gatski, *J. Fluid Mech.* **227**, 245 (1991).
 [10] P. Bradshaw, N. N. Mansour, and U. Piomelli, *Proceedings of the Summer Program, Center for Turbulence Research, Stanford University/NASA Ames Research Center*, 1987, pp. 159–164.
 [11] J. Kim, P. Moin, and R. Moser, *J. Fluid Mech.* **177**, 133 (1987).
 [12] K. Iwamoto, Y. Suzuki, and N. Kasagi, *Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow* **23**, 678 (2002).
 [13] J. O. Hinze, *Turbulence*, 2nd ed. (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975).
 [14] I. Wygnanski and H. Fiedler, *J. Fluid Mech.* **38**, 577 (1969).
 [15] I. Wygnanski and H. E. Fiedler, *J. Fluid Mech.* **41**, 327 (1970).
 [16] T. von Kármán and L. Howarth, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **164**, 192 (1938).
 [17] G. K. Batchelor and A. A. Townsend, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **199**, 238 (1949).
 [18] J. R. Ristorcelli, ICASE Report No. 98-5, 1998; NASA Report No. CR-1998-206909, 1998.
 [19] B. E. Launder, G. Reece, and W. Rodi, *J. Fluid Mech.* **68**, 537 (1975).
 [20] T. B. Gatski and C. G. Speziale, *J. Fluid Mech.* **254**, 59 (1993).
 [21] S. S. Girimaji, *Theor. Comput. Fluid Dyn.* **8**, 387 (1996).
 [22] S. Wallin and A. V. Johansson, *J. Fluid Mech.* **403**, 89 (2000).
 [23] P. E. Hamlington and W. J. A. Dahm, *Phys. Fluids* **20**, 115101 (2008).